

# Nonlinear von Neumann-type equations: Darboux invariance and spectra

Maciej Kuna<sup>1</sup>, Marek Czachor<sup>1,2</sup>, and Sergiej B. Leble<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Wydział Fizyki Technicznej i Matematyki Stosowanej  
Politechnika Gdańska, ul. Narutowicza 11/12, 80-952 Gdańsk, Poland

<sup>2</sup> Arnold Sommerfeld Institute for Mathematical Physics  
Technical University of Clausthal, 38678 Clausthal-Zellerfeld, Germany

Generalized Euler-Arnold-von Neumann density matrix equations can be solved by a binary Darboux transformation, given here in a new form:  $\rho[1] = e^{P \ln(\mu/\nu)} \rho e^{-P \ln(\mu/\nu)}$ , where  $P = P^2$  is explicitly constructed in terms of conjugated Lax pairs, and  $\mu, \nu$  are complex. As a result spectra of  $\rho$  and  $\rho[1]$  are identical. Transformations allowing to shift and rescale spectrum of a solution are introduced, and a class of stationary seed solutions is discussed.

## I. INTRODUCTION

In this Letter we want to address the following problem. Assume we have a nonlinear von Neumann equation (vNE) whose solutions can be generated by a binary Darboux transformation [1–4]. The question is what is the relation between spectrum of a “seed” solution  $\rho$  and this of the Darboux-transformed  $\rho[1]$ . The issue is especially important if  $\rho[1]$  is to be a density matrix: Its spectrum cannot be negative, but does the fact that we start with a positive  $\rho$  imply positivity of  $\rho[1]$ ?

Quite recently density matrix solutions of the nonlinear Euler-Arnold-von Neumann equation (EAvNE)  $i\dot{\rho} = [H, \rho^2]$  were constructed by this technique in [5]. The solutions  $\rho[1]$  were obtained from the seed  $\rho$  satisfying  $[\Delta_a, H] = 0$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{R}$ , with nontrivial  $\Delta_a := \rho^2 - a\rho$ . The explicit form was shown to be

$$\rho[1](t) = e^{-iaHt} \left( \rho(0) + (\mu - \bar{\mu}) F_a(t) \right)^{-1} \times e^{-\frac{i}{\mu} \Delta_a t} [|\varphi(0)\rangle \langle \varphi(0)|, H] e^{\frac{i}{\mu} \Delta_a t} e^{iaHt}, \quad (1)$$

where  $\rho(0)$  is an initial condition for  $\rho$ ,  $\mu$  is a complex parameter of the Darboux transformation,  $|\varphi(0)\rangle$  a solution of the Lax pair at  $t = 0$ , and

$$F_a(t) = \langle \varphi(0) | \exp \left( i \frac{\mu - \bar{\mu}}{|\mu|^2} \Delta_a t \right) | \varphi(0) \rangle.$$

An analysis of explicit examples (e.g., for  $H$  corresponding to a 1-dimensional harmonic oscillator) revealed several interesting and unexpected properties of  $\rho[1](t)$ . For example, in all the examples the dynamics was found to be asymptotically *linear*, but with different asymptotics for  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $t \rightarrow -\infty$  and a kind of “phase transition” around  $t = 0$ . Also in all the examples spectrum of  $\rho[1](t)$  was equal to this of  $\rho(0)$ . It is difficult to see these properties in the formula (1) and therefore it was not clear to what extent they followed from the choice of

the seed  $\rho$ , and whether they are a feature of only this particular nonlinear equation.

In this Letter we prove that spectra of  $\rho[1]$  and  $\rho$  coincide whenever the equation they satisfy can be obtained as consistency condition for a Lax pair which is covariant under the *binary* Darboux transformation. The result is therefore not a peculiarity of the EAvNE and does not depend on the choice of seed solutions. We shall give a general proof which does not refer to any concrete equation but the notion of the binary-Darboux covariance will be illustrated on a class of nonlinear vNE’s. Finally we shall show how to shift and rescale the spectra. Such properties are important in order to generate normalized density matrices  $\rho[1]$  from  $\rho$ ’s that are either nonpositive or nonnormalized.

## II. ZAKHAROV-SHABAT PROBLEM AND DARBOUX-TYPE TRANSFORMATIONS

Let  $V$  and  $J$  be linear operators,  $s$  a parameter, and  $\mu$  a complex number. We shall consider two types of Zakharov-Shabat (ZS) problems. Let  $|\varphi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$  be a vector from a Hilbert space. The Hilbert-space ZS equation is

$$i\partial_s |\varphi\rangle = (V - \mu J) |\varphi\rangle. \quad (2)$$

The second type of equation is obtained by taking a “second-quantized” problem

$$i\partial_s \hat{\varphi} = (V - \mu J) \hat{\varphi} \quad (3)$$

where  $\hat{\varphi}$  is an operator.

In order to introduce the binary Darboux transformation of a ZS problem we supplement (2) or (3) by an appropriate *pair of conjugate* ZS equations:

$$-i\partial_s \langle \chi | = \langle \chi | (V - \nu J), \quad (4)$$

$$-i\partial_s \langle \psi | = \langle \psi | (V - \lambda J), \quad (5)$$

for (2), and

$$-i\partial_s \hat{\chi} = \hat{\chi} (V - \nu J), \quad (6)$$

$$-i\partial_s \hat{\psi} = \hat{\psi} (V - \lambda J) \quad (7)$$

for (3). We define the operator  $P$  by

$$P = \frac{|\varphi\rangle \langle \chi|}{\langle \chi | \varphi \rangle} \quad (8)$$

$$P = \hat{\varphi} (p \hat{\chi} \hat{\varphi} p)^{-1} \hat{\chi} \quad (9)$$

for the two cases, respectively, where the operator  $p$  satisfies  $p^2 = p$  and  $\partial_s p = 0$ . The inverse in (9) is understood as the one in a  $p$ -invariant subspace: For any operator  $\hat{x} = p\hat{x}p$  its inverse  $\hat{x}^{-1} = p\hat{x}^{-1}p$  satisfies  $\hat{x}\hat{x}^{-1} = \hat{x}^{-1}\hat{x} = p$ . In both cases  $P$  is an idempotent. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} P^2 &= \hat{\varphi}(p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p)^{-1}\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}(p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p)^{-1}\hat{\chi} \\ &= \hat{\varphi}(p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p)^{-1}p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p(p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p)^{-1}\hat{\chi} = \hat{\varphi}p(p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p)^{-1}\hat{\chi} = P. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$V[1] = V + (\mu - \nu)[P, J] \quad (10)$$

and

$$\langle \psi[1] | = \langle \psi | \left( \mathbf{1} - \frac{\nu - \mu}{\lambda - \mu} P \right) \quad (11)$$

$$\hat{\psi}[1] = \hat{\psi} \left( \mathbf{1} - \frac{\nu - \mu}{\lambda - \mu} P \right). \quad (12)$$

The following theorem can be proved by a direct calculation (for a simple proof see [5])

**Theorem 1.**

$$-i\partial_s \langle \psi[1] | = \langle \psi[1] | (V[1] - \lambda J), \quad (13)$$

$$-i\partial_s \hat{\psi}[1] = \hat{\psi}[1] (V[1] - \lambda J). \quad (14)$$

*Remarks:* (a) The above transformation is called “binary” because it can be shown to be a composition of two mutually conjugated “elementary” Darboux-type transformations introduced and analyzed in [1–3]. In this respect it is similar to the standard binary Darboux transformation discussed in [6].

(b) The form of the transformation resembles the expressions occurring in the so-called dressing method introduced by Zakharov in the context of the Riemann-Hilbert factorization problem [7–11]. The difference between the binary transformation and the dressing method lies essentially in the form of  $P$  and restrictions imposed on the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ . In the original Zakharov construction the parameters were related to poles of complex functions and the whole approach relied on analyticity properties of objects under consideration. This complex-analytic framework made it difficult to work with infinite-dimensional systems. The binary Darboux technique is purely algebraic and therefore the above restrictions no longer apply. The parameters are arbitrary and an extension to infinite-dimensional systems is natural, cf. the example analyzed in [5] where  $J = H$  was a Hamiltonian of a quantum-mechanical harmonic oscillator.

### III. NONLINEAR DENSITY MATRIX EQUATIONS

In this section we discuss a class of nonlinear equations that can be solved by the binary Darboux technique described in the previous section. Their common feature is

the existence of a Darboux-covariant Lax pair. The class is parametrized by a natural number  $n$ , and for  $n = 1$  reduces to EAvNE analyzed in [5]. The EAvNE for projectors reduces to the pure-state vNE which, on the other hand, is equivalent to the Schrödinger equation (SE). For  $n > 1$  the equations we discuss in this section are equivalent, for pure states, to a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations (NSE).

Let  $A$  be a time-independent self-adjoint operator. Consider the following class of nonlinear vNE’s

$$i\dot{\rho} = [H(\rho), \rho] \quad (15)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n [A^{n-k} \rho A^k, \rho] = \sum_{k=0}^n [A^{n-k}, \rho A^k \rho] \quad (16)$$

where the dot denotes the time derivative. For  $n = 1$  and  $A = H$  one finds

$$H(\rho) = H\rho + \rho H \quad (17)$$

and the nonlinear vNE becomes the EAvNE

$$i\dot{\rho} = [H\rho + \rho H, \rho] = [H, \rho^2]. \quad (18)$$

Let us stress that the problem we consider is generically infinite-dimensional and  $\rho$  is positive, bounded, trace-class and Hermitian. These constraints are Darboux-covariant, as will become clear later, if the binary  $\nu = \bar{\mu}$  transformation is considered.

The Lax representation of (16) is given by the pair of linear equations

$$z_\mu |\varphi\rangle = (\rho - \mu A) |\varphi\rangle, \quad (19)$$

$$i|\dot{\varphi}\rangle = \left( \sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k} \rho A^k - \mu A^{n+1} \right) |\varphi\rangle, \quad (20)$$

where  $z_\mu, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$ . In order to use the binary technique we have to consider the additional *two* conjugated Lax pairs with parameters  $\lambda, z_\lambda, \nu, z_\nu$ :

$$z_\lambda \langle \psi | = \langle \psi | (\rho - \lambda A), \quad (21)$$

$$-i\langle \dot{\psi} | = \langle \psi | \left( \sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k} \rho A^k - \lambda A^{n+1} \right), \quad (22)$$

$$z_\nu \langle \chi | = \langle \chi | (\rho - \nu A), \quad (23)$$

$$-i\langle \dot{\chi} | = \langle \chi | \left( \sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k} \rho A^k - \nu A^{n+1} \right). \quad (24)$$

In what follows the  $\mu$ - and  $\nu$ -pairs (19)–(20) and (23)–(24) will be used to define the binary transformation of the conjugated  $\lambda$ -pair (21)–(22).

**Lemma 1.** The Lax pair (21)–(22) is covariant under the binary Darboux transformation.

*Proof:* The Lax pair can be regarded as a particular case of the zero-curvature representation

$$-i\langle\psi'| = \langle\psi|(\rho - \lambda A) =: \langle\psi|(V_1 - \lambda J_1), \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} -i\langle\dot{\psi}| &= \langle\psi|\left(\sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k}\rho A^k - \lambda A^{n+1}\right) \\ &=: \langle\psi|(V_2 - \lambda J_2), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where the prime denotes a derivative with respect to some auxiliary parameter  $\tau$ , and  $\rho' = 0$ . Eqs. (25), (26) are examples of general Zakharov-Shabat problems. The binary Darboux transformation implies

$$-i\langle\psi[1]'| = \langle\psi[1]|(V_1[1] - \lambda J_1), \quad (27)$$

$$-i\langle\dot{\psi}[1]| = \langle\psi[1]|(V_2[1] - \lambda J_2), \quad (28)$$

where

$$V_1[1] = V_1 + (\mu - \nu)[P, J_1] \quad (29)$$

$$= \rho + (\mu - \nu)[P, A] =: \rho[1] \quad (30)$$

$$V_2[1] = V_2 + (\mu - \nu)[P, J_2] \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k}\rho A^k + (\mu - \nu)[P, A^{n+1}] \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k}\rho A^k + (\mu - \nu)\sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k}[P, A]A^k \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k}\rho[1]A^k. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

The  $\tau$ -dependence of the solutions of the zero-curvature equations is given by

$$|\varphi(t, \tau)\rangle = e^{-iz_\mu\tau}|\varphi(t, \tau = 0)\rangle \quad (33)$$

$$\langle\chi(t, \tau)| = e^{iz_\nu\tau}\langle\chi(t, \tau = 0)| \quad (34)$$

$$\langle\psi(t, \tau)| = e^{iz_\lambda\tau}\langle\psi(t, \tau = 0)| \quad (35)$$

so that  $P$  is  $\tau$ -independent and, hence,

$$\langle\psi[1](t, \tau)| = e^{iz_\lambda\tau}\langle\psi[1](t, \tau = 0)|. \quad (36)$$

Therefore

$$-i\langle\psi[1]'| = \langle\psi[1]|(\rho[1] - \lambda A) = z_\lambda\langle\psi[1]| \quad (37)$$

$$-i\langle\dot{\psi}[1]| = \langle\psi[1]|\left(\sum_{k=0}^n A^{n-k}\rho[1]A^k - \lambda A^{n+1}\right) \quad (38)$$

which was to be proved.  $\square$

*Remarks:* (a) The above Lemma implies that Eqs. (16) are invariant under the action of the binary Darboux transformation, since the stationarity conditions imply  $P' = 0$  and  $\rho[1]' = 0$  if  $\rho' = 0$ .

(b) For  $\nu = \bar{\mu}$   $\rho = \rho^\dagger$  implies  $\rho[1] = \rho[1]^\dagger$ .

(c)  $\text{Tr } \rho = \text{Tr } \rho[1]$ .

(d) For pure states, i.e.  $\rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|$ ,  $\langle\Psi|\Psi\rangle = 1$ , Eqs. (16) are equivalent to a class of nonlinear SE. Indeed, in this case

$$\rho A^k \rho = |\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi|A^k|\Psi\rangle\langle\Psi| = \text{Tr}(\rho A^k)\rho$$

and

$$i\dot{\rho} = \sum_{k=0}^n [A^{n-k}, \rho A^k \rho] = \sum_{k=0}^n \text{Tr}(\rho A^k)[A^{n-k}, \rho]. \quad (39)$$

The solutions of (39) are

$$\rho(t) = e^{-i\sum_{k=0}^n \text{Tr}(\rho A^k)A^{n-k}t} \rho(0) e^{i\sum_{k=0}^n \text{Tr}(\rho A^k)A^{n-k}t}$$

since  $\text{Tr}(\rho A^k)$  are time-independent. The same dynamics could be obtained from the NSE

$$i|\dot{\Psi}\rangle = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \langle\Psi|A^k|\Psi\rangle A^{n-k}|\Psi\rangle. \quad (40)$$

Let us recall that the case  $n = 1$ ,  $A = H$  is equivalent to the EAvNE which for pure states gives the ordinary vNE. This property is seen also in (40) since then the corresponding SE is the ordinary linear one.

#### IV. BINARY DARBOUTX TRANSFORMATION AS A SIMILARITY TRANSFORMATION

Spectra of all the solutions of the EAvNE discussed in [5] were *invariant* under the binary transformation. Below we show that the result is not a peculiarity of the EAvNE but holds for all operator equations that are compatibility conditions for a Lax pair of ZS equations. In a more general situation where instead of a Lax pair we have a zero-curvature pair, the result does not have to hold. For solutions that are not self-adjoint the result extends separately to both the left and the right spectra.

Consider the following three general zero-curvature pairs

$$i|\varphi'\rangle = (\rho - \mu A)|\varphi\rangle = z_\mu|\varphi\rangle, \quad (41)$$

$$i|\dot{\varphi}\rangle = (V(\rho) - \mu J)|\varphi\rangle, \quad (42)$$

$$-i\langle\chi'| = \langle\chi|(\rho - \nu A) = z_\nu\langle\chi| \quad (43)$$

$$-i\langle\dot{\chi}| = \langle\chi|(V(\rho) - \nu J), \quad (44)$$

$$-i\langle\psi'| = \langle\psi|(\rho - \lambda A) \quad (45)$$

$$-i\langle\dot{\psi}| = \langle\psi|(V(\rho) - \lambda J), \quad (46)$$

The only assumption we make about  $\rho$ ,  $J$ ,  $A$ , and  $V(\rho)$  is the covariance of (45)–(46) under the binary Darboux transformation constructed with the help of  $\langle\chi|$  and  $|\varphi\rangle$ . Let us note that the stationarity with respect to  $\tau$  is assumed only for the first two pairs.

**Theorem 2.** Under the above assumptions the binary Darboux transformation  $\rho \mapsto \rho[1]$  is a similarity transformation,  $\rho[1] = T\rho T^{-1}$ , where

$$T = \mathbf{1} + \frac{\mu - \nu}{\nu} P = e^{P \ln \frac{\mu}{\nu}} \quad (47)$$

*Proof:* By definition  $\rho[1] = \rho + (\mu - \nu)[P, A]$ . Eqs. (41), (43) imply

$$z_\mu P = (\rho - \mu A)P \quad (48)$$

$$z_\nu P = P(\rho - \nu A) \quad (49)$$

from which it follows that

$$P(\rho - \mu A)P = (\rho - \mu A)P \quad (50)$$

$$P(\rho - \nu A)P = P(\rho - \nu A). \quad (51)$$

Multiplying (50) by  $\nu$ , (51) by  $\mu$ , and subtracting the resulting equations we get

$$[P, A] = \frac{\nu - \mu}{\mu\nu} P \rho P - \frac{1}{\mu} \rho P + \frac{1}{\nu} P \rho. \quad (52)$$

Inserting this expression into the definition of  $\rho[1]$  we obtain

$$\rho[1] = \left(1 + \frac{\mu - \nu}{\nu} P\right) \rho \left(1 + \frac{\nu - \mu}{\mu} P\right). \quad (53)$$

To see that the operators occurring at both sides of  $\rho$  are inverses of each other it is sufficient to use  $P^2 = P$ . For any  $z \in \mathbf{C}$  and any  $P$  satisfying  $P^2 = P$  one finds

$$\exp(zP) = 1 - P + e^z P$$

and, in particular,

$$e^{P \ln \frac{\mu}{\nu}} = 1 - P + \frac{\mu}{\nu} P = T.$$

□

*Remarks:* (a) For Hermitian  $P$  and  $\nu = \bar{\mu}$  the operator  $T$  is unitary. If in addition  $\mu$  is imaginary then  $T = 1 - 2P$ .

(b) The assumptions we have made can be weakened since the proof is based on the equalities (50) and (51) which are weaker than (41), (43).

(c) The proof extends without any modification to the operator version of the binary Darboux transformation, where we take the operators  $\hat{\varphi}$  and  $\hat{\chi}$  instead of the Hilbert-space vectors  $|\varphi\rangle$  and  $\langle\chi|$ , and  $P = \hat{\varphi}(p\hat{\chi}\hat{\varphi}p)^{-1}\hat{\chi}$ .

(d) The result applies to all the equations described in the previous section. As a consequence all their solutions  $\rho[1]$  obtained from a density matrix  $\rho$  with  $\nu = \bar{\mu}$  are also density matrices.

## V. SPECTRUM SHIFTING AND RESCALING

It is often convenient to start with a solution that is not a density matrix. For example, one can start with a  $\rho$  which is not positive, or whose trace is not equal to 1. To turn it into a density matrix one first has to add an appropriate positive operator to  $\rho$  and then renormalize the solution to get  $\text{Tr} \rho = 1$ . Below we give examples of such transformations.

Take an operator  $X$  satisfying  $[X, A] = [X, \rho] = 0$ . Such an  $X$  always exists (e.g.  $X = \Lambda \mathbf{1}$ ,  $\Lambda \in \mathbf{R}$ ). Assume  $\rho(t)$  is a solution of (16). Then

$$\rho_X(t) = e^{-i(n+1)XA^n t}(\rho(t) + X)e^{i(n+1)XA^n t} \quad (54)$$

also satisfies (16). In particular, for  $X = \Lambda \mathbf{1}$  the spectrum of  $\rho_X$  is shifted by  $\Lambda$  with respect to this of  $\rho$ . For  $n = 1$  one obtains the gauge transformation of the EAvNE discussed in [5]. This trick is very useful in practical computations of finite dimensional cases and typically leads to positive but non-normalized solutions.

To change the normalization one uses the rescaling symmetry of (16):

$$\rho(t) \mapsto \rho_Y(t) = Y\rho(Yt), \quad Y \in \mathbf{R}. \quad (55)$$

As an application of the above two transformations consider the problem of stationary seed solutions  $\rho$ . The solutions discussed in [5] were starting with non-stationary  $\rho$ 's, since those satisfying  $[\rho, A] = 0$  lead to the projector  $P$  commuting with both  $A$  and  $\rho$ , and the binary transformation is trivial. Still, there exists another class of stationary solutions of (16), obtained if  $A\rho = -\rho A$ . Now the projector  $P$  will not, in general, commute with  $\rho$  and  $A$ , and the binary transformation may be nontrivial.

Assume  $[P, \rho] \neq 0$ . For  $n = 2m$  one has  $\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k = 1$  and

$$i|\dot{\varphi}\rangle = (A^n \rho - \mu A^{n+1})|\varphi\rangle = z_\mu A^n |\varphi\rangle \quad (56)$$

whose formal solution is

$$|\varphi(t)\rangle = e^{-iz_\mu A^n t} |\varphi(0)\rangle. \quad (57)$$

For odd  $n$  we get  $\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k = 0$  and

$$i|\dot{\varphi}\rangle = -\mu A^{n+1} |\varphi\rangle \quad (58)$$

implying

$$|\varphi(t)\rangle = e^{i\mu A^{n+1} t} |\varphi(0)\rangle. \quad (59)$$

The fact that  $\mu$  and  $z_\mu$  are complex results, in general, in a nontrivial time evolution of  $P$  arising from the nontrivial contribution from the time dependence of  $\langle\varphi(t)|\varphi(t)\rangle$ . Let us note here that the “phase transitions” found for the Euler-Arnold-von Neumann equation had a similar origin although the “seed solutions”  $\rho$  satisfied a different condition. An exceptional situation occurs if the solution of the Lax pair turns out to be an eigenstate of  $A^{2m}$  (for  $n = 2m$ ) or  $A^{2(m+1)}$  (for  $n = 2m + 1$ ). Let us also note that  $\rho$  that anticommutes with  $A$  does commute with  $A^2$  and therefore also with the generators of the time evolution given by (57) and (59). This is an important property allowing to look for an eigenstate of  $\rho - \mu A$  at  $t = 0$ .

For  $\rho$  anticommuting with  $A$  one finds  $\text{Tr} \rho A = 0$ . This implies that  $\rho$  and  $A$  cannot be simultaneously positive. In a finite dimensional case one can easily shift the spectrum of  $\rho$  by a number and then renormalize the resulting solution by means of the above two transformations, and in this way use  $\rho$  as an intermediate step towards a density matrix  $\rho[1]$  with positive  $A$ . An analysis of explicit solutions obtained by this technique will be presented in a forthcoming paper [12].

## VI. FURTHER PERSPECTIVES

The central result of this Letter is the formula (53). For  $\mu \neq \bar{\nu}$  the operator  $T$  is nonunitary. A possibility that has not been explored as yet is to look for Hermitian  $\rho$  [1] but starting with a non-Hermitian  $\rho$  and nonunitary  $T$ . In still more general perspective, it is clear that the method we have developed works for a class of nonlinear operator equations of the Heisenberg form  $i\dot{F} = [H(F), F]$  and, hence, may find applications in quantum optics and field theory. The technique is well suited for solving infinite-dimensional problems, but a practical complication is associated with constraints typical of density matrices. Hermiticity is an easy issue here, but real difficulties occur if one looks for trace-class and positive solutions.

Another open question is how to solve vNE's of the general form

$$i\dot{\rho} = [H, f(\rho)]. \quad (60)$$

There are reasons to investigate this type of nonlinearities since there exist links of  $f(\rho) = \rho^q$ ,  $q > 0$ , to nonextensive  $q$ -statistics (cf. [13] and references therein). At the moment no binary-Darboux covariant Lax pairs are known for  $q \neq 2$ . Actually, looking more closely at the structure of Zakharov-Shabat equations and properties of the binary transformation one can conjecture that this particular Darboux transformation can work only for vNE's with Hamiltonians *linear* in  $\rho$ . For example, we are aware of a Lax pair leading to

$$i\dot{\rho} = [H, \rho^3] = [\rho^2 H + \rho H \rho + H \rho^2, \rho] = [H(\rho), \rho] \quad (61)$$

but it is not covariant under the binary transformation: The reason is the quadratic dependence on  $\rho$  of the Hamiltonian  $H(\rho)$ . On the other hand, all  $f(\rho)$  nonlinearities with polynomial  $f$  have similar properties when looked at from a Lie-Poisson perspective (say, the same Casimirs and other invariants) and there is basically no reason why these equations should not be solvable by a Darboux-type technique. It is possible that such transformations should be looked for between those obtained by a composition of *three* or more elementary transformations discussed in [2,3].

A new class of constraints, which have not been considered so far, are those related to commutation relations. To give an example, in quantum optics the task is often reduced to solving nonlinear Heisenberg equations for creation and annihilation operators. Assuming the problem is treated by a Darboux technique, the constraint will be of the form  $[a[1], a[1]^\dagger] = 1$  and the fact that we are dealing with a similarity transformation (53) may be of crucial importance.

All these problems are a subject of current study.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Our work was supported by the KBN Grant No.

2 P03B 163 15. The work of M.K. and M.C. was financed in part by the Polish-Flemish Grant No. 007. This work was done partly during our stays in Brussels, Antwerp, and Clausthal. We thank J. Naudts for fruitful discussions and the organizers of the Antwerp workshop for financial support. M.C. also thanks Alexander von Humboldt-Stiftung for making possible his stay in ASI where the final version of the paper was completed.

- 
- [1] S. B. Leble and N. V. Ustinov, in *International Symposium on Nonlinear Theory and its Applications (NOLTA '93)*, p. 547 (Hawaii, 1993).
  - [2] A. A. Zaitsev and S. B. Leble, Rep. Math. Phys. **39**, 177 (1997).
  - [3] S. B. Leble, Computers Math. Applic. **35**, 73 (1998).
  - [4] N. V. Ustinov, J. Math. Phys. **39**, 976 (1998).
  - [5] S. B. Leble and M. Czachor, Phys. Rev. E **58**, 7091 (1998).
  - [6] V. B. Matveev, M. A. Salle, *Darboux Transformations and Solitons*, (Springer, Berlin, 1991).
  - [7] V. E. Zakharov, S. V. Manakov, S. P. Novikov, L. P. Pitaevski, *Theory of Solitons: Inverse Problem Method*, Nauka (Moscow, 1980).
  - [8] E. V. Doktorov and V. S. Shchesnovich, J. Math. Phys. **36**, 7009 (1995).
  - [9] V. S. Shchesnovich, Inverse Problems **12**, L1 (1996).
  - [10] E. V. Doktorov and V. S. Shchesnovich, Phys. Lett. A **207**, 153 (1995).
  - [11] V. S. Shchesnovich, Chaos, Solitons, and Fractals **5**, 2121 (1995).
  - [12] M. Czachor, M. Syty, and K. Wasyłka — in preparation.
  - [13] M. Czachor and J. Naudts, Phys. Rev. E **59**, 2497R (1999).